Discussion on Geotechnical Engineering Design Technology Based on Risk Control

Guangjinglong Xu

Northwest Research Institute of Engineering Investigations and Design Anhui Branch, Hefei, Anhui, 230000, China

Keywords: Risk Control; Geotechnical Engineering Design; Safety; Risk Early Warning

Abstract: Geotechnical engineering plays a fundamental role in the construction industry, and the quality of its design is closely related to the safety and stability of the entire project. The geology conditions of geotechnical engineering are complicated, and the change of the groundwater level and geological hazards during operation make it a tough job to design. Traditional design approaches lack the necessary tools to address such complicated risks. The paper discusses the benefits of risk-control-based geotechnical engineering design and, including aspects such as dynamic risk identification through geological surveys, limit state optimization design, construction methods improvement and multi-dimensional risk-limiting mechanism formulation along with full-cycle monitoring over time, it presents essential design technologies and optimal means in an attempt to furnish a strong reference for smooth geotechnical engineering project construction.

1. Introduction

The quality of design of geotechnical engineering is the basis for the safety and stability of the entire engineering project. Geotechnical engineering design can only be reasonable if it fulfills regulatory requirements and basic functional requirements. With the increasing viability of geotechnical engineering and stricter technical requirements, accurately identifying and controlling risks has become an urgent problem for construction units. Thus, risk control-based geotechnical engineering design needs to incorporate risk management thinking into all processes, rely on modern methods to systematically classify and quantitatively assess risks, and formulate scientific rational emergency measures and design schemes that can provide practical guidance for steadily improving the level of geotechnical engineering design.

2. Advantages of Risk Control-Based Geotechnical Engineering Design

2.1 Enhancing the Scientific Nature of Design Decisions

The design of geotechnical engineering based on risk control will accurately identify, and support quantitative analysis with strong data support for the decision-making of engineering design through systematic identification. We use multi-dimensional model to represent geological conditions and material properties, take detainment in uncertain factor probabilistic statistical way so that the realization of uncertainty risk. Furthermore, risk assessment can not only assess the risks in foundation pit support design but also timely update geological survey data and environmental change parameters in the process of foundation pit support design to effectively control project costs while ensuring construction safety.

2.2 Strengthening Engineering Adaptability

Knowledge of risk factors like geological uncertainties and construction mechanisms, in turn improves the adaptability of the geotechnical engineering under a risk control framework. A risk level matrix can be determined based upon a quantitative approach. Moreover, the adaptive design utilizes the inherent benefits of modular bodies combination with a facilitated connection between geology and geotechnical engineering applied to structural stability during construction and at working condition.

  DOI: 10.25236/icacel.2025.166

2.3 Ensuring Full Lifecycle Safety of the Project

Systematic assurance of the security of engineering projects in whole-life can be obtained through risk control based geotechnical engineering design. A risk quantification analysis and model of geological survey data used in the design phase serve to identify potential safety hazards, with the approach enabling support structures to be selected and models of control schemes studying slope stability scientifically to stem engineering failures at their roots. Additionally, full lifecycle risk management can also assist with sustainability design within geotechnics. Higher quality anti-corrosion material and drainage systems can also decrease maintenance costs in the long run. Secondly, Through integrating the data of design stage, construction stage and operating,a risk control system is set up which can provide a rational reference paradigm for sustainable & stable development of geotechnical engineering.

3. Risk Control-Based Geotechnical Engineering Design Technologies

3.1 Multi-Source Information Fusion for Risk Identification

Based on this principle, geotechnical engineering design with risk control is established through multi-source information fusion for risk identification. GII integrates multi-dimensional data collected by geophysical surveys, field monitoring and numerical modelling within a common dynamic risk assessment system with real time warning and detection capabilities, covering all phases across the lifecycle of a geotechnical engineering project [1]. Utilizes geological survey techniques to obtain data on stratum conditions and geotechnical parameters. Coupling it with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) platforms, can provide reproducible 3D geological models which serve as a sound spatial reference for risk identification. Furthermore, with coupled analyses incorporating field monitoring data and numerical simulations, such technology provides the ability to assess both the dynamic response due to geotechnical actions on rock and soil masses – as well as quantify their disturbance signals for designing against these operational risks. It supports synchronous collection of all parameters and edge computing by utilizing IoT sensor networks. In this case, high-quality big data fusion analysis can be conducted to realize the dynamic update of risk model parameters and enhance the timeliness of risk identification in combination with cloud computing platforms. This novel method not only breaks through the limitation of information source being a single one, but also is able to reduce uncertain risk factors effectively based on information complementarity and cross-validation that are dependable references for design in geotechnical engineering.

3.2 Risk Transfer Design Technology

Transfer of geotechnical engineering risk design technology strategy — contract and insurance disadvantage Conclusion The way it actually gets to control difficult-to-control risks, however, is through contracts and insurance. A contract level approach, the boundaries of responsibility with third parties (contractors & suppliers) are defined by the geology engineering design team. The foundation has charged the responsibility of loss and repair in complex geological conditions caused by improper operation of the contractor in the contract. Insurance mechanisms are also also great risk transfer options. The design should take out property and casualty insurance for damage caused by natural disasters. In case of a disaster happening, most economic losses shall be suffered by the insurance company per contracted coverages which will release financial pressure on the constructor. Further, risk transfer design technology can also help in delivering special work to professionals and subcontractors for high-risk tasks through contracts and thus will help in safeguarding the entire process of geotechnical engineering.

3.3 Parameter Dynamic Correction Technology

By adjusting parameters in real time and accurately, risk control-based geological engineering design has established a stable risk defense line with the technical assistance of parameter dynamic correction technology. Geotechnical engineering is a field with typically high variability, and the initial parameters encountered in design are based on survey data and empirical assumptions. Fixing these allows for a constant reference point (known as the baseline) early in the project which may diverge through its course. In practical usage,Construction personnel should apply the parameter dynamic correction technology which is based on real-time monitoring and data analysis that they can make sure closely follow science adjustment of parameter. Therefore, it is very important that at least one type of very high precision sensors be used on site to monitor random changes in key parameters, including but not limited to stress/strain and displacement of the rock and soil mass. This large amount of data will directly be sent seamlessly to a processing centre. A sophisticated algorithmic model analysis assists you in precisely identifying deviations in parameters. If a parameter is beyond some safety threshold, the system will immediately trigger an alert and smoothly switch into its correction mode. The revised parameters are then applied by construction contractors to re-assess the safety status and risk levels of geotechnical engineering projects. If within acceptable limits continue with the process of construction thereof but when monitoring data indicate that it has reached (overstepped) will raise the early warning to keep every moment below a threshold target.

4. Optimization Strategies for Risk Control-Based Geotechnical Engineering Design

4.1 Utilizing Geological Surveys to Identify Dynamic Risks

The idea of geotechnical engineering design for risk control advocated in this paper regards geological surveys as the core measure, and optimizes these measures to achieve a dynamic identification of risks. In addition an integrated survey strategy consisting of a multi-stage, multi-technology approach is needed, combined with closed-loop management that includes the elements of survey, feedback and adjustment to be able to take proactive measures in risk management [2]. In the initial engineering stage, geo-sampling surveys and remote sensing interpretation should be used alongside historical engineering data to delineate macro geological risk zones such as fault fracture zones and weak interlayers into high-risk boundaries. A hybrid patch and point but also as a drilling plan should be adopted at the detailed design level, which further densifies points. Then, double-tube single-acting core sampling technology should be utilized with standard penetration test and dynamic penetration means to narrate in-situ mechanical parameters in risk-sensitive regions. By implementing advanced technologies such as borehole television imaging technology and acoustic logging technology, it is possible to meet high requirements for the accuracy of 3D geological modeling in areas with special geological bodies. Additionally, geological exploration should be coupled with probabilistic statistics and artificial intelligence analysis tools. Combining GIS and BIM technologies to 3D geological modelling which could help visualising the spatial distribution of geospatial features and uncertainties as geological body. The requirement for machine learning algorithms used in an iterative step-by-step fashion by the survey personnel with quantification of parameter variability and a description of which parameters are responsible for uncertainties would be necessary to expedite final-wave cyber-attack resolution, which can then be targeted on local sections in terms of the broader structural context providing the widest impact on engineering safety through efficiency-maximized resource constraints associated with limited coverage surveys;

4.2 Optimizing Limit State Design

Multi-dimensional strategy should be taken to dynamically balance safety, economy and feasibility in finite state design of risk control based geotechnical engineering. Firstly, select the Bayesian update method to obtain parameter values of key importance (such as soil shear strength and compression modulus), which is followed by Applying dynamic updating design parameter distribution model based on field test data and historical project experience, so that recognizing conservative design lead to resource waste wound. Second, the current single limit state equation should be broken down to two, the determined one and a new structural performance level (SLS) that can meet reliability requirements as need, also called ultimate limit state (ULS). Thus, the parameter sensitivity matrix is built based on finite element numerical simulation to sift out dominant parameters (influence coefficient >0.3) for macro optimization. Based on this, the LCC model should be established along with initial construction cost, operation and maintenance cost, increasing loss cost to reduce economically during the limit state design; by defining a local multiple parameters where design is optimized. Use genetic algorithms to do multi-objective optimization### For example, in slope support construction in geotechnical engineering, we change the anchor cable length or distance between cables to significantly shorten the duration of the work. Lastly the optimization methodology should go the complete lifecycle from survey: basic design→detailed design→construction→operation. We also require observation method or value engineering concept based flexible schemes. You can run assessments of risk using real-time data monitoring while the construction is going on [3]. As soon as the monitoring indexes approach or exceed warning lines, feedback control is implemented based on the established reinforcement and adjustment plans to realize proactive risk control and dynamic design optimization so that an optimal balance of cost-risk can be achieved.

4.3 Reasonably Optimizing Construction Techniques

Efficient construction techniques are optimized and risk balance is emphasized as a multi-dimensional feature in the framework of efficient construction techniques. Based on the statistical science of geological survey data, construction enterprises should conduct careful sub-stratum configuration and establish a dynamic correlation model between construction parameters and stratum attributes. For the stratified soil pitch, it is layered and segmented excavation using graded reinforcement methods. Increment & Setting CorrectionThe dewatering rate should taken changes pore water pressure that are measured live on site with the real-time technical parameters to avoid quicksand, piping or over-excitation risks For areas of karst development, bored piles should be transformed into composite support structures, namely the combination of rotary drilling cast-in-place piles only on top and plunging farthest holes within caverns with earth pressure resistance ability without losing money for overlappings steel sleeves plugged in at each other level so as to rescue floors which now have been hitting non clear obstacles directly downwards by using FDTD ground penetrating radar scanning data technology that would definitely result in cutting any accidental bias during testing or working; total control system were previously written down timely when measuring ranges accordingly focusing close attention doing ranging cement blocks strictly following stratum safe everyday production parameters up till rock mass collapse happened over once every 2 years span period continuously instead. Simply put, not only construction companies can generate spatiotemporal conflict detection models of 3D construction models (e.g. support structure installation-operating procedure; earth excavation and dewatering operations) using BIM + digital twin technology. In the process selection stage, there should be a systematic comparison of construction methods along with risk and costs. Techniques that are mature and low in disturbance should be prioritized. And an important thing is control by quality of materials, equipment performance and construction operating procedures should be strictly done so that in each process should conduct on basis of design and technical requirements.

4.4 Formulating Multi-Dimensional Risk Transfer Mechanisms

Optimization of geotechnical engineering design based on risk control focuses on the establishment of multi-dimensional mechanism for risk transfer, utilizing innovative supplementary measures in technology as well as contractual financial and management to realize reasonable balance and dynamic balance of risks. Construction enterprises should set up the database of geotechnical engineering risk including typically probabilistic analysis of geological data and numerical simulation technology based predominately on landslide, settlement and seepage risks. So, minimizing the systemic risks thrown up by single component failures by using interchangeable standardized parts in the design? Construction firms have the opportunity to ambitiously price in risk premium compensation by introducing geological uncertainty into the contractor's risk. If you face a catastrophic risk that could result in large amounts of money being lost, then professional engineering insurance is essential. For mega-projects such further dispersion of aggregated catastrophic risks, and thus long-term financial viability for the project as a whole could also be achieved through its securitization in capital markets, or partnership with insurance companies.

4.5 Implementing Full Lifecycle Monitoring

Ongoing and continuous geotechnical engineering design, risk control based on monitoring over the full lifecycle is optimal. A 3D geological model and risk database, integrating the sensor network, satellite remote sensing, UAV inspection and other technologies. The simultaneous collection of key parameters such as displacement, stress and seepage by means of intelligent distributed strain gauges optical fiber sensors (and/or other equipments) to establish a multi-dimensional monitoring matrix [4]. The construction enterprises should be phased adjustment risk threshold due to this basis. It is essential to set warning thresholds for deformation rate and support structure stress under different working conditions according to the numerical simulation during construction. Based on that, we should build the digital twin platform of geotechnical engineering projects integrating BIM and GIS (geographic information system) connecting real-time monitoring data and finite element analysis modules. The monitoring strategies after project delivery should transition from safety considerations to an operational maintenance and health diagnosis in the long run. But this one was implemented for sitespecific measurements only, longrunning monitoring systems with installed sensors should be used to automate the performance improvement of rock/soil mass & structures. Long-term data driven scientific prediction models should be created to supplement maintenance decisions and optimize the value of the asset throughout its life.

5. Conclusion

In brief, the project safety depends on risk-control in geotechnical engineering. An impact of new technologies and ideas emerging all the time means that also creates a risk controlled optimized geotechnical engineering design. It lays this foundation for high-quality development of geotechnical engineering by dynamic risk identification based on geological surveys, limit state design optimization of underground engineering structures, rational construction process improvement and formulation of multi-dimensional risk transfer mechanism, as well as full life cycle monitoring.

References

[1] Zhang Feiliang, Li Yanjun, Liu Xing. Risk Management Technology and Platform Construction for Geotechnical Engineering in Urban Rail Transit Underground Space [J]. Smart City, 2025, 11(5):84-87.

[2] Yu Chongjia. Application of Geotechnical Engineering Deep Foundation Pit Support Technology: A Case Study of Foundation Pit Support Design for Changchun Municipal Engineering [J]. Jilin Geology, 2024, 43(3):109-115.

[3] Li Zhiyang. Importance of Geotechnical Engineering Pile Foundation Testing and Analysis of Common Detection Technologies [J]. Architectural Engineering and Design, 2025, 4(4):54-56.

[4] Zong Junxiu. Research on Safety Control Technology of Geotechnical Engineering Based on Deep Foundation Pit Support [J]. Engineering Technology Research, 2025, 10(7):130-132.